

(12) INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(19) World Intellectual Property
Organization
International Bureau



534 302

10/534302

(43) International Publication Date
27 May 2004 (27.05.2004)

PCT

(10) International Publication Number
WO 2004/043445 A1

- (51) International Patent Classification⁷: A61K 31/167, 31/365, 31/7048, 31/4184, A61P 31/00
- (74) Agent: SPRUSON & FERGUSON; GPO Box 3898, Sydney, NSW 2001 (AU).
- (21) International Application Number: PCT/AU2003/001490
- (81) Designated States (*national*): AE, AG, AL, AM, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BW, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CN, CO, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM, DZ, EC, EE, EG, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MA, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MZ, NI, NO, NZ, OM, PG, PH, PL, PT, RO, RU, SC, SD, SE, SG, SK, SL, SY, TJ, TM, TN, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ, VC, VN, YU, ZA, ZM, ZW.
- (22) International Filing Date: 11 November 2003 (11.11.2003)
- (25) Filing Language: English
- (26) Publication Language: English
- (84) Designated States (*regional*): ARIPO patent (BW, GH, GM, KE, LS, MW, MZ, SD, SL, SZ, TZ, UG, ZM, ZW), Eurasian patent (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European patent (AT, BE, BG, CH, CY, CZ, DE, DK, EE, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, HU, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, RO, SE, SI, SK, TR), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GQ, GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).
- (30) Priority Data: 2002952597 11 November 2002 (11.11.2002) AU
- (71) Applicant (*for all designated States except US*): SCHERING-PLOUGH PTY. LIMITED [AU/AU]; 11 Gibbon Road, Baulkham Hills, NSW 2153 (AU).
- (72) Inventor; and
- (75) Inventor/Applicant (*for US only*): SHEPHERD, Stanley [GB/AU]; 32 Bainbridge Avenue, Ingleburn, NSW 2565 (AU).
- Published:
— with international search report
- For two-letter codes and other abbreviations, refer to the "Guidance Notes on Codes and Abbreviations" appearing at the beginning of each regular issue of the PCT Gazette.

(54) Title: TOPICAL PARASITICIDE FORMULATIONS AND METHODS OF TREATMENT

(57) Abstract: Aqueous micellar formulations for topical administration of benzimidazoles or salicylanilides with macrocyclic lactones to livestock for the control of endoand ecto-parasites, comprising a first active agent selected from water insoluble benzimidazoles, salicylanilides and active derivatives or salts thereof, in combination with a second active agent selected from macrocyclic lactones or active derivatives or salts thereof, and also comprising, per litre of formulation: from about 100 to about 400g veterinary acceptable surfactant(s); from about 200 to about 750g veterinary acceptable water-miscible solvent(s); and from about 50 to about 350g water, as well as methods for dosing livestock with such formulations, and methods for controlling and/or preventing diseases or parasite infection in livestock.

WO 2004/043445 A1

Topical Parasiticide Formulations And Methods Of Treatment

Technical Field

This invention relates to formulations for administration of benzimidazoles or salicylanilides with macrocyclic lactones to livestock for the control of endo-
5 and/or ecto-parasites, methods for dosing livestock with such formulations, and methods for controlling and/or preventing diseases or parasite infection in livestock.

Background Art

A number of formulations containing active components, such as therapeutic,
10 prophylactic and/or bioactive substances, for the treatment and/or prophylaxis of diseases or parasite infection in livestock, are known. Such formulations include tablets and solutions for oral administration, injectable solutions, treated collars and ear-tags, and topical means, including pour-on and spot-on formulations.

Many of the early such formulations were intended for topical
15 treatment/prophylaxis of ectoparasite-related conditions, designed to spread the active component over the skin and/or hair surfaces of the animal, not to administer the active component(s) to the bloodstream of the animal being treated. More recently, endoparasiticide pour-on formulations for delivery of particular active agents, including macrocyclic lactones, to the bloodstream of
20 domestic animals, such as sheep and cattle, have been developed, and these have the advantage over other administration forms, such as oral drenches and injection, of being easily applied to animals in a relatively-accurate amount.

Known pour-on and spot-on formulations for endoparasiticide treatment generally utilise a non-aqueous delivery system for administering active components to
25 animals, since the active ingredients of interest were substantially water-insoluble (particularly macrocyclic lactones, levamisole base, benzimidazoles), and it was believed that dissolution of the parasiticide was necessary in order for the parasiticide to become systemically absorbed.

Commercial ectoparasiticide products are available as both solvent-based and
30 aqueous-based formulations. Water-insoluble actives have been formulated as aqueous suspension pour-on formulations, e.g., deltamethrin (a synthetic pyrethroid) for the treatment of lice on sheep (Clout S®, Schering-Plough) and

cattle (Coopers® Easy Dose, Schering-Plough), and diflubenzuron (insect growth regulator, or IGR) for lice on sheep (Magnum IGR®, Schering-Plough). These treatments are characterised by low levels of actives found in tissues following treatment, reflecting little penetration of active through the skin layer. Solvent-based formulations containing the water-insoluble IGR, triflumuron (e.g., Zapp®, Bayer) for lice control on sheep are also available. At an equivalent dose rate to the aqueous-based formulations, these solvent-based formulations lead to higher tissue residues immediately after treatment. This supports the assertion that a water-insoluble active will be more easily systemically absorbed if it is solubilized in the formulation.

By 'water-insoluble', it is meant that the water solubility is insufficient for an effective amount of an endoparasiticide to be dissolved in a commercially-viable dose of a water-based pour-on formulation. Practically, a dose of pour-on formulation should not be much more than 1.0mL/10kg bodyweight (for ease of application and to prevent runoff). At this rate, a 500kg beast would receive a 50mL dose, therefore, a 2.0mL/10kg dose is not practical, as many animals weigh much more than 500 kg.

Benzimidazoles and macrocyclic lactones are important classes of agents for the treatment or prevention of a number of important endoparasites of livestock, including acute or chronic liver fluke disease, best recognized in sheep and cattle, caused by the liver parasite *Fasciola hepatica*, and nematodes such as the *Cooperia*, *Ostertagia*, and *Trichostrongylus* species.

Triclabendazole is a particularly effective benzimidazole, and is the most effective drug currently available against all stages of *Fasciola hepatica*, destroying the early immature and immature fluke migrating through the liver, as well as the adult fluke in the bile duct.

Salicylanilide compounds form another important class of agents for control of endoparasites, particularly *Fasciola hepatica*, and nematodes, such as *Haemonchus* species. The salicylanilide oxyclozanide is effective against adult liver fluke (*Fasciola hepatica*) and immature paramphistomes migrating in the intestine of cattle and the young flukes in the rumen and reticulum. Oxyclozanide is highly insoluble in water and is administered to animals in an aqueous suspension formulation by oral dosing.

Commercial endectocide pour-on products containing the avermectins, ivermectin (Paramax®, Schering-Plough, Ivomec® Cattle Pour-On, Merial), moxidectin (Cydectin®, Fort Dodge) and doramectin (Dectomax®, Pfizer), are currently available for treatment of cattle for the control or prophylaxis of a number of endo- and ectoparasites, such as lice, flies and ticks. These formulations, however, require significantly higher administration rates of the active component, as compared to oral drenching techniques, typically at least two times the oral drenching rates, in order to achieve effective blood concentrations of the active ingredient in the animal, and to achieve the same efficacy of treatment. For example, ivermectin oral solution for cattle (Ivomec® Oral Solution for Cattle, Merial, registered in New Zealand) has a dose rate of 200 micrograms ivermectin/kg bodyweight, whereas Ivomec® Cattle Pour-On has a dose rate of 500 micrograms ivermectin /kg bodyweight.

Treatment of liver fluke in cattle with anthelmintics, such as triclabendazole, is generally carried out by oral drenching with a commercial product, for example Fasinex® 120 (120 g/L triclabendazole, Novartis), as well as by injection (Ivomec® Plus Antiparasitic Injection for cattle, Merial, which also controls adult liver fluke).

Pour-on or spot-on formulations of salicylanilide derivatives are not currently available, usually being administered to livestock by oral drench.

It would be highly desirable, in order to provide broad-spectrum protection against endoparasites and ectoparasites, through efficient delivery of water-insoluble compounds, such as benzimidazoles or salicylanilides, in combination with macrocyclic lactones to the bloodstream of animals by a single, convenient topical application, rather than by oral administration.

By "efficient delivery", it is meant that the active agent is administered at a rate approximating oral dosage rates, up to about twice normal oral dosage rates, to give effective blood concentrations and equivalent efficacy.

International Publication No. WO00/61068 (PCT/NZ00/00053) discloses triclabendazole, optionally in combination with a macrocyclic lactone, dissolved in at least one solvent, preferably administered as a pour-on formulation for control of liver fluke. Efficacy data supplied (based on a low natural infection fluke challenge, mean of 20), however, shows that the formulation was applied at 2.5 times the dose of a standard oral drench rate to give equivalent efficacy. Also, two of the solvents described, xylene and toluene, are highly flammable. The reported triclabendazole content of the formulation, after 345 days storage at ambient temperature, is 7.5% lower than the initial assay, although there is no

decrease in the abamectin content. Solvent-based formulations of ivermectin can break down rapidly unless suitably stabilized.

A solvent-based, topically-administered formulation of the salicylanilide closantel with the macrocyclic lactone ivermectin, for the control of parasites, has been described in U.S. Patent No. 6,340,672. The maximum concentration of active agents described in the examples of this document is 0.5%w/v for ivermectin and 5%w/v for closantel. At these concentrations, unacceptably large volumes of the formulations (from a practical viewpoint) would need to be poured onto the animals in order to achieve effective blood concentrations of the active agents.

WO 00/74489 (PCT/NZ00/00087) discloses biocidal compositions, including pour-on formulations which are water-in-oil (soyabean) emulsions stabilized with an emulsifying agent. The formulations comprise the water-soluble anthelmintic, levamisole (as the hydrochloride salt), and a macrocyclic lactone (abamectin or ivermectin), optionally in combination with a benzimidazole (oxfendazole). Only low levels of benzimidazole are present in the formulations disclosed in this document (up to 5%w/v oxfendazole in an oral drench formulation), and only one pour-on formulation comprising a benzimidazole (2.26%w/v oxfendazole) and a macrocyclic lactone (0.1%w/v abamectin) is disclosed. Whilst this pour-on formulation is described as delivering the levamisole to the bloodstream of cattle with efficiency similar to oral drench administration, the macrocyclic lactones and benzimidazoles were delivered with low efficiency and a commercially-unpractical volume of this formulation would be required to be applied to animals in order to achieve effective blood concentrations of these actives.

Objects of the Invention

It is an object of this invention to provide a topical formulation capable of efficient delivery of a benzimidazole or salicylanilide, in combination with a macrocyclic lactone, to the bloodstream of an animal for broad-spectrum control of endoparasites, such as liver fluke and nematodes, in animals, such as sheep and cattle, with a single, easily-applied topical formulation.

Summary of the Invention

It has now been surprisingly found that a benzimidazole or a salicylanilide, in combination with a macrocyclic lactone, may be formulated into a stable aqueous

micellar composition which, when applied topically to an animal, efficiently delivers the desired active constituents to the bloodstream of the animal, and provides effective protection against infestation by endoparasites such as liver fluke and nematodes.

- 5 Thus, the present invention provides an aqueous micellar formulation comprising a first active agent selected from benzimidazoles, salicylanilides and active derivatives or salts thereof, in combination with a second active agent selected from macrocyclic lactones or active derivatives or salts thereof, said formulation being for topical application to animals for the control of internal parasites and
10 also comprising, per litre of formulation:

from about 100g to about 400g veterinary-acceptable surfactant(s);
from about 200g to about 750g veterinary-acceptable water-miscible solvent(s); and
from about 50g to about 350g water.

- 15 Surprisingly, it has also been found that the stability of aqueous micellar formulations of the invention may be improved by inclusion of a stabilizer selected from anionic surfactants, such as sodium dodecyl sulphate (SDS), and/or buffering agents, such as soluble phosphates and/or dibasic phosphates.

Thus, in a preferred aspect of the invention, the aqueous micellar formulation
20 comprises a stabilizer selected from anionic surfactants or buffering agents, or mixtures thereof. Preferably the stabilizer is a linear alkyl sulphate, such as sodium dodecyl sulphate, or one or more phosphates/dibasic phosphates, or mixtures thereof.

In a preferred embodiment, there is provided an aqueous micellar formulation
25 comprising a benzimidazole in combination with a macrocyclic lactone, said formulation being for topical application to animals for the control of internal parasites and also comprising, per litre of formulation:

about 100g to about 300g polyoxyalkylene sorbitan fatty acid ester surfactant;
30 about 300g to about 650g alkylene glycol ether selected from alkylene or dialkylene glycol monoalkyl ethers or combinations thereof;
about 10g to about 100g polyethylene glycol;
about 5g to about 50g stabilizer; and

about 50g to about 350g water.

In a particularly preferred aspect of this embodiment, the formulation comprises, per litre formulation:

- about 180g to about 240g benzimidazole;
- 5 about 7.5g to about 20g macrocyclic lactone or an active derivative or salt thereof;
- about 150g to about 250g polyoxyethylene (20) sorbitan monolaurate;
- about 450g to about 550g diethylene glycol monobutyl ether;
- about 20g to about 50g PEG 200;
- 10 about 20g sodium dodecyl sulphate; and
- about 100g to about 200g water.

The invention also provides a method of treating or preventing a diseased or parasite-infested state in a mammal, comprising topically administering to said mammal a micellar formulation according to the instant invention.

- 15 Typically, the diseased or infested state is related to liver fluke, such as caused by *Fasciola hepatica*, and nematodes, such as *Cooperia*, *Ostertagia*, *Trichostrongylus* and *Haemonchus* species, or combinations thereof.

Even more typically, the diseased or infested state to be treated or prevented is a disease or infested state of cattle or sheep, more typically cattle.

- 20 Surprisingly, it was found that the location and size of the region of topical administration of the formulations was important for efficiency of permeation of the active agents across the skin into the bloodstream.

Thus, in a preferred aspect of the methods of treatment, the formulation is applied in a band along the lower portion of the back of the mammal.

- 25 Preferably, so as to maximise efficiency of delivery of the active agents to the bloodstream of the animal, the formulation is applied to the animal over as small a region as possible while avoiding run-off of the formulation, so as to maximise the concentration of active agents per cm² of animal surface.

- 30 In another preferred aspect of the methods of treatment, the formulation is sprayed onto the back of the animal.

Where the animals to be treated are cattle, the formulation is preferably applied to the flat part of the back, typically the last third of the animal, and most typically starting from the thoracic vertebrae and proceeding towards the rump of the animal. Typically, about 24mg benzimidazole/salicylanilide and about 1.5mg macrocyclic lactone are applied per kilogram of animal. Typically, the band of formulation applied will be from about 5cm to about 15cm wide and, depending on the size of animal, about 20cm –to 40cm long, and even more typically, the formulation is sprayed onto the back of the animal and the height of the source of spray relative to the back of the animal is maintained at about 5cm to 10cm.

As used herein, the term "treating or preventing", refers to any and all uses which remedy or prevent a diseased or infested state or symptoms, or otherwise prevent, hinder, retard, or reverse the progression of disease/infestation or other undesirable symptoms in any way whatsoever. "Infestation" and corresponding derived terms relate to infestation by endo- and/or ecto-parasites.

An "effective amount", as referred to herein, includes a non-toxic therapeutic or prophylactic amount of an active agent adequate to provide the desired effect. The "effective amount" will vary from subject-to-subject, depending on one or more of a number of factors amongst, for example, the particular agent being administered, the type and/or severity of a condition being treated, the species being treated, the weight, age and general condition of the subject and the mode of administration. For any given case, an appropriate "effective amount" may be determined by one of ordinary skill in the art using only routine experimentation. Also, extensive literature is available for many known active agents through, for example, manufacturers' catalogues, the Internet, scientific journals and patent literature, including effective amounts for administration to target animals.

Typically, "effective amount" refers to an amount of active agent sufficient to result in one or more of the following: recession/reduction in the extent of a disease/infestation; inhibition of disease/infestation growth or progression; cessation of disease/infestation growth or progression; prevention of disease/infestation; relief of disease/infestation-imposed discomfort; or prolongation of life of the animal having the disease.

As used herein, the term "about", in the context of concentrations of components of the formulations, typically means +/- 5% of the stated value, more typically +/- 4% of the stated value, more typically +/- 3% of the stated value, more typically, +/- 2% of the stated value, even more typically +/- 1% of the stated value, and even more typically +/- 0.5% of the stated value.

As used herein, the term "comprising" means "including principally, but not necessarily solely". Variations of the word "comprising", such as "comprise" and "comprises", have correspondingly similar meanings.

Detailed Description of the Invention

5 Aqueous Micellar formulations

The present invention is based on the finding that hydrophobic active agents, such as benzimidazoles and salicylanilides, may be provided in a formulation for topical administration along with therapeutic amounts of a macrocyclic lactone for efficient delivery of both the benzimidazole/salicylanilide and the macrocyclic
10 lactone to the bloodstream of the animal for effective control of endoparasites such as liver fluke and nematodes. It has also been found by the present investigations that efficiency of delivery of the active agents to the bloodstream of a mammal is affected by the topical location of application of the formulation, minimising the area of the skin to which the active agents are applied and/or use
15 of formulations having elevated concentrations of the active agents. The formulations of the present invention surprisingly allow for elevated concentrations of benzimidazole(s) or salicylanilide(s), in combination with one or more macrocyclic lactones, to be provided in a single composition for efficient delivery of the active agents to the bloodstream of a mammal by topical
20 administration.

The formulations are aqueous micellar compositions, comprising elevated levels of the active agents and, per litre of formulation:

from about 100g to 400g veterinary-acceptable surfactant(s);
from 200g to 750g veterinary-acceptable water-miscible solvent(s); and
25 from 50g to 350g water.

Advantageously, the surfactant is non-ionic and selected from sorbitan esters, polyoxyalkylated sorbitan esters, polyoxyalkylated alkyl ethers, polyoxyalkylated fatty alcohols, polyoxyalkylated fatty acids, polyalkylene glycol esters, polyoxyalkylated derivatives of castor oil, polyglycerol esters, copolymers of
30 ethylene oxide and propylene oxide; amine ethoxylates; alkyl phenol ethoxylates; alkyl polysaccharides; or combinations thereof, although the surfactant may also be, or include, anionic surfactants selected from linear alkylbenzene sulphonates; C12-to-C16 alcohol sulphates; C12

alkoxypolyethanoxy sulphates; alkyl phosphates and phosphonates or combinations thereof.

Preferred surfactants are selected from polyoxyalkylated fatty alcohols and polyoxyethylene sorbitan- or sorbitol- fatty acid esters or combinations thereof, and particularly preferred are polyoxyethylene sorbitan- or sorbitol- fatty acid esters.

Generally, the polyoxyalkylene sorbitan- or sorbitol- fatty acid esters are polyoxyethylene sorbitan fatty acid esters. Polyoxyethylene sorbitan fatty acid esters such as those of the Ecoteric® series (Huntsman) are preferred. Especially preferred polyoxyethylene sorbitan fatty acid ester surfactants are polyoxyethylene (20) sorbitan monolaurate (Ecoteric® T 20) and polyoxyethylene (20) sorbitan monooleate (Ecoteric® T 80).

Typically the polyoxylated fatty alcohols are polyalkylene oxide derivatives of natural or synthetic alcohols, and those of synthetic alcohols, such as provided by the Teric® series (Huntsman) are preferred. Especially preferred is Teric® BL8.

Generally, the amount of surfactant used in the formulation ranges from about 100g/L to about 400g/L, typically about 100g/L to about 300g/L, more typically about 150g/L to about 300g/L, even more typically about 150g/L to about 250g surfactant, and even more typically about 175g/L to about 225g/L, preferably about 200g/L, based on the total amount of formulation.

The water-miscible solvent(s) may be selected from: ethanol; isopropanol; benzyl alcohol; glycol ethers; liquid polyoxyethylene glycols; or a mixture of at least two of these solvents.

Particularly-preferred water-miscible solvents are the glycol ethers, and particularly in combination with a liquid polyethylene glycol. A particularly-preferred polyethylene glycol is PEG 200.

Generally, the glycol ethers are alkylene glycol alkyl ethers, including ethylene glycol monoethyl ether, ethylene glycol monomethyl ether, propylene glycol monomethyl ether (Glysolv PM®, Huntsman), dipropylene glycol monomethyl ether, diethylene glycol monoethyl ether (Ethyl di Glysolv®, Huntsman),

diethylene glycol monobutyl ether (Butyl di Glysolv® or Butyl Digol®, Huntsman), and diethylene glycol diethyl ether and the like. Particularly preferred glycol ethers are diethylene glycol monoethyl ether (Ethyl di Glysolv®) and/or diethylene glycol monobutyl ether (Butyl di Glysolv® or Butyl Digol®).

- 5 Generally, the amount of water-miscible solvent(s) used in the formulation ranges from about 200g/L to about 750g/L, typically about 300g/L to about 650g/L, more typically about 300g/L to about 550g/L and even more typically about 400g/L to about 550g/L, preferably about 450g/L to about 550g/L, based on the total amount of formulation, but will vary depending on the particular solvent(s) used
10 and the amount of active agents to be included in the micellar formulation.

Where, according to a preferred aspect of the invention, the formulation comprises both a glycol ether and a liquid polyethylene glycol, the amount of glycol ether used in the formulation typically ranges from about 350g/L to about 650g/L, more typically about 400g/L to about 600g/L and even more typically
15 about 450g/L to about 550g/L, preferably about 450g/L to about 500g/L, based on the total amount of formulation. The amount of liquid polyethylene glycol used in the formulation typically ranges from about 10g/L to about 100g/L, more typically from about 20g/L to about 70g/L, even more typically from about 20g/L to about 50g/L, preferably about 30g/L, based on the total amount of formulation.

- 20 Generally, the amount of water used in the formulation ranges from about 50g/L to about 350g/L, typically about 100g/L to about 300g/L, more typically about 100g/L to about 250g/L, and even more typically about 150g/L to about 200g/L, preferably about 150g/L, based on the total amount of formulation.

Examples of suitable benzimidazoles include: 2-(4-thiazolyl)-1H-benzimidazole,
25 known as thiabendazole; [5-(propylthio)-1H-benzimidazol-2-yl]carbamic acid methyl ester, known as albendazole; [5-(propylsulfinyl)-1H-benzimidazol-2-yl]carbamic acid methyl ester known as albendazole sulfoxide or albendazole oxide; [2-(4-thiazolyl)-1H-benzimidazol-5-yl]carbamic acid 1-methylethyl ester, known as cambendazole; [5-(phenylthio)-1H-benzimidazol-2-yl]carbamic acid
30 methyl ester, known as fenbendazole; (5-benzoyl-1H-benzimidazol-2-yl)carbamic acid methyl ester, known as mebendazole; [5-(phenylsulfinyl)-1H-benzimidazol-2-yl]carbamic acid methyl ester, known as is oxfendazole; (5-propoxy-1H-benzimidazol-2-yl)carbamic acid methyl ester, known as oxibendazole; [5-(N-

butyl)-1H-benzimidazol-2-yl]carbamic acid methyl ester known as parbendazole; methyl 5-cyclopropylcarbonyl-1H-benzimidazol-2-ylcarbamate known as cyclobendazole; methyl 5-(4-fluorobenzoyl)-1H-benzimidazol-2-ylcarbamate known as flubendazole; 5-chloro-6-(2,3-dichlorophenoxy)-2-(methylthio)-
5 benzimidazole known as triclabendazole; and [5-(4-fluoro-phenylsulfonyloxy)-1H-benzimidazol-2-yl]carbamic acid methyl ester known as luxabendazole.

The benzimidazole antiparasitic agents are active against one or more of *Haemonchus*, *Ostertagia*, *Trichostrongylus*, *Nematodirus*, *Cooperia*, *Bunostomum*, *Strongyloides*, *Trichuris*, *Oesophagostomum*, *Chabertia*,
10 *Dictyocaulus*, *Moniezia* and *Fasciola* in sheep and against *Haemonchus*, *Ostertagia*, *Trichostrongylus*, *Nematodirus*, *Cooperia*, *Bunostomum*, *Capillaria*, *Strongyloides*, *Trichuris*, *Oesophagostomum*, *Chabertia*, *Dictyocaulus*, *Moniezia* and *Fasciola* in cattle.

Particularly preferred as benzimidazole is triclabendazole.

15 Examples of suitable salicylanilide compounds for use in the control of *Fasciola* and *Haemonchus* species in livestock include oxyclozanide (3,3',5,5',6-pentachloro-2'-hydroxysalicylanilide), closantel (5'-chloro-4'-(4-chloro-alpha-cyanobenzyl)-3,5-diiodosalicyl-o-toluidide), rafoxanide (3'-chloro-4'-(4-chlorophenoxy)-3,5-diiodosalicylanilide), and niclosamide (2',5-dichloro-4'-
20 nitrosalicylanilide), as well as clioxanide, brotianide and bromoxanide.

Salicylanilide derivatives, and their use for control of endoparasites in livestock, has been described in, for example, U.S. Patent numbers 3,914,418; 3,927,071; 3,989,826; 4,005,218; and 4,025,647, "Veterinary Anthelmintics", by J.H. Arundel, University of Sydney, Post Graduate Foundation in Veterinary Science,
25 and the Merck Veterinary Manual (<http://www.merckvetmanual.com/mvm/index.jsp?cfile=htm/bc/191415.htm>).

Oxyclozanide is a particularly preferred salicylanilide for use in formulations according to the invention.

Typically, the macrocyclic lactone(s) is/are selected from the group consisting of
30 ivermectin (22,23-dihydroavermectin B₁ described in EP 295117), abamectin, avermectin A_{1a}, avermectin A_{1b}, avermectin A_{2a}, avermectin A_{2b}, avermectin B_{1a}, avermectin B_{1b}, avermectin B_{2a}, and avermectin B_{2b}. Also typically, the

macrocyclic lactone may be selected from active derivatives of the naturally occurring avermectins, such as derivatives which have a group at the 25-substituent other than the isopropyl or (S)-sec-butyl groups, as set out in European patent applications 0214731, 0284176, 0308145, 0317148, 0335541 and 0340832. Also, typically, the macrocyclic lactone of the first aspect of the invention can include moxidectin (and derivatives disclosed in European patent publication No. 259779A), doramectin and its analogues (described in European patent publication No. 0214731B), selamectin, eprinomectin, milbemycin including milbemycin oxime, milbemycin D (Antibiotic B41D) and its analogues (described in U.S. Patent No. 3,950,360) and nemadectins (described in European patent publication No. 170006A).

The macrocyclic lactone antiparasitic agents are active against one or more of *Haemonchus*, *Ostertagia*, *Trichostrongylus*, *Nematodirus*, *Cooperia*, *Strongyloides*, *Trichuris*, *Oesophagostomum*, *Chabertia* and *Dictyocaulus* in sheep and against *Haemonchus*, *Ostertagia*, *Trichostrongylus*, *Nematodirus*, *Cooperia*, *Oesophagostomum* and *Dictyocaulus* in cattle.

Particularly preferred as a macrocyclic lactone is ivermectin.

Generally, where present, the amount of benzimidazole used in the formulation ranges from about 90g/L to about 360g/L, typically about 90g/L to about 300g/L, more typically about 150g/L to about 300g/L, even more typically about 180g/L to about 270g/L, and even more typically about 180g/L to about 240g/L, preferably about 240g/L, based on the total amount of formulation. Generally about 9mg to about 36mg, typically about 9mg to about 30mg, more typically about 15mg to about 30mg, even more typically about 18mg to about 27mg, and even more typically 18mg to about 24mg, preferably about 24mg of benzimidazole per kg bodyweight are applied topically to a mammal in a single dosage.

Generally, where present, the amount of salicylanilide used in the formulation ranges from about 125g/L to about 500g/L, typically about 160g/L to about 375g/L, more typically about 200g/L to about 350g/L, even more typically about 250g/L to about 350g/L, and even more typically about 300g/L to about 330g/L, preferably about 330g/L based on the total amount of formulation. Generally, about 12.5mg to about 50mg of oxyclozanide, typically about 16mg to about 37.5mg, more typically about 20mg to about 35mg, even more typically about

25mg to about 35mg, and even more typically about 30mg to about 35mg, preferably about 33mg of salicylanilide per kg bodyweight is applied topically to a mammal in a single dosage.

5 Generally the amount of macrocyclic lactone used in the formulation ranges from about 2.5g/L to about 25g/L, typically about 4g/L to about 20g/L, more typically about 7.5g/L to about 20g/L and even more typically about 7.5g/L to about 15g/L, preferably about 15g/L, based on the total amount of formulation. Generally about 0.25mg to about 2.5mg, typically about 0.4 to about 2.0mg, more typically about 0.75mg to about 2.0mg, even more typically about 0.75mg to about 1.5mg, 10 preferably about 1.5mg of macrocyclic lactone per kg bodyweight are applied topically to a mammal in a single dosage.

Advantageously, the aqueous micellar formulations according to the invention also comprise a stabilizer. Preferably the stabilizer is selected from anionic surfactants such as linear alkyl sulphates (for example, sodium dodecyl 15 sulphate), linear alkyl benzene sulphonates (such as calcium dodecyl benzene sulphonate) and buffering agents, typically selected from soluble monobasic and/or dibasic phosphates.

Sodium dodecyl sulphate is typically used as a stabilizer in the formulation in the range of from about 10g/L to about 30g/L, more typically from about 10g/L to 20 20 about 20g/L, based on the total amount of formulation; phosphates are typically used in the formulation in the range of from about 1g/L to about 10g/L, more typically from about 1g/L to about 5g/L, and more typically from about 1g/L to 2g/L, based on the total amount of formulation.

25 The aqueous micellar formulations may also include one or more further veterinary excipients, provided these do not destabilise the micellar formulation.

Veterinary acceptable excipients for use in preparing the formulations may include, for example: further solvents such as, for example, water immiscible solvents including glycol ether esters; viscosity modifiers/suspending agents, for example, gelatin, vegetable gums such as xanthan gum, cellulose derivatives 30 (e.g. microcrystalline cellulose, anionic or non-ionic cellulose ethers, such as carboxymethylcellulose), fumed silica (colloidal silicon dioxide), or polyvinylpyrrolidone polymers, and magnesium aluminium silicates such as VEEGUM® (R.T. Vanderbilt), and mixtures of these.

Examples of suitable veterinary acceptable adjuvants include dyes.

Dyes enable the treated mammals to be distinguished from the untreated. The dyestuff may be dissolved, suspended or dispersed in the carrier. The nature of the colouring agent is unimportant and a wide variety of suitable dyes and pigments will be known to the skilled person. The colouring agent may be soluble or insoluble in water. Generally, however, the dyestuff will be biodegradable so as to fade and not permanently mark the skin or fleece. Some examples of suitable dye agents include: FD&C Brilliant Blue No. 1 (Brilliant Blue FCF, Hexacol Brilliant Blue), and Fast Scarlet Pigment 3610.

10 **Processes for the preparation of micellar formulations of the invention**

The micellar formulations according to the invention may be prepared by methods and techniques known to those of skill in the art.

Typically the formulations may be made using a simple process:

Step 1. Charge 80% of the total volume of water-miscible (non flammable) solvent and the surfactant to a manufacturing vessel. Heat to 40°C – 75°C (flammable solvents such as ethanol and isopropanol, whether added as major water-miscible solvent or as a minor component should be used at ambient temperature).

Step 2. Add the benzimidazole or salicylanilide incrementally with continued stirring and heating until dissolved.

Step 3. Add sequentially the water, and optionally stabilizers and dye, stirring until dissolved.

Step 4. Cool to room temperature with continued stirring.

Step 5. Add the macrocyclic lactone incrementally with stirring until dissolved (also, if flammable solvents such as ethanol or isopropanol are to be added as co-solvents, they should be added here).

Step 6. Add the remaining solvent to volume.

Methods of Treatment and/or prevention of diseases or infestations

The formulations according to the invention may be used for the treatment and/or prevention of diseases or infestations by endoparasites in mammals, typically in

livestock such as sheep or cattle, by applying the formulation(s) to the back of the mammal. Important diseases/infestations which may be controlled include liver fluke, nematodes and lice in sheep and cattle and buffalo fly and ticks on cattle.

- 5 It was found that optimal uptake of the active agents into the bloodstream of treated mammals occurred when the formulations were applied to a region starting from the flat part of an animals back – approximately at the location of the thoracic vertebrae – and working towards the rump of the animal, effectively resulting in application of the formulation to the last third of the mammal's back.
- 10 This mode of application was found to be significantly more effective than application starting at the neck.

Efficiency of delivery of the active agents to the bloodstream of a mammal was also found to be greatest where the surface area to which the formulation is applied was minimised, while avoiding run-off of the formulation, so as to

15 maximise the concentration of active agents per cm^2 of animal surface, typically covering an area of about 100cm^2 to about 400cm^2 for cattle and about 100cm^2 for sheep.

Typically the formulation is applied by spray onto the mammal's back, preferably from a constant height relative to the mammal's back.

- 20 For cattle, the band of formulation is typically applied starting from the thoracic vertebrae and proceeding towards the rump of the animal. Typically, from about 18mg to about 24mg benzimidazole and from about 0.75mg to about 2.0mg macrocyclic lactone are applied per kilogram animal. More typically, where triclabendazole and ivermectin are the active agents comprised in the formulation
- 25 from about 18mg to about 24mg, preferably about 24mg triclabendazole and from about 0.75mg to about 2.0mg, preferably about 1.5mg ivermectin are applied per kilogram of animal. Preferably this amount of active agents is applied to the mammal in about 0.05mL to about 0.1mL per kg animal, and in a band from about 5cm to about 15cm wide. In weaned calves typically weighing from
- 30 about 100cm to about 180kg per head, good results were obtained by spraying about 10mL to about 18mL formulation onto the backs of the animals, starting from the thoracic vertebrae and working towards the animals' rumps, from a

constant height of about 15cm relative the backs of the animals, resulting in an applied band of formulation about 10cm to about 15cm wide and about 20cm long.

Preferred forms of the present invention will now be described, by way of example only, with reference to the following examples, including comparative data, and which are not to be taken to be limiting to the scope or spirit of the invention in any way.

Examples

Example 1 – Aqueous micellar formulations, and processes for preparing them

1.1 Formulation A

Component	g/L
Triclabendazole	240
Ivermectin	7.5
Polyoxyethylene (20) sorbitan monolaurate (Ecoteric® T 20)	200
Polyethylene glycol 200 (PEG 200)	30
Water	150
Sodium dodecyl sulphate	20
Brilliant Blue FCF	0.16
Diethylene glycol monobutyl ether	to 1L

1.2 Formulation B

Component	g/L
Triclabendazole	240
Ivermectin	7.5
Polyoxyethylene (20) sorbitan monolaurate (Ecoteric® T 20)	200
Polyethylene glycol 200 (PEG 200)	30
Water	250
Sodium dodecyl sulphate	20
Brilliant Blue FCF	0.16
Diethylene glycol monobutyl ether	to 1L

1.3 Formulation C

Component		g/L
Triclabendazole		120
Ivermectin		5.0
5	Polyalkylene oxide derivative of synthetic alcohol (Teric® BL8)	200
Benzyl alcohol		30
Water		150
Dihydrogen sodium phosphate		7.84
Disodium hydrogen phosphate		0.91
10	Brilliant Blue FCF	0.16
Diethylene glycol monobutyl ether		to 1L

1.4 Formulation D

Component		g/L
Triclabendazole		120
15	Ivermectin	5.0
Polyoxyethylene (20) sorbitan monooleate (Ecoteric® T 80)		200
Benzyl alcohol		30
Water		250
Disodium hydrogen phosphate		0.91
20	Dihydrogen sodium phosphate	7.84
Brilliant Blue FCF		0.16
Propylene glycol monomethyl ether (Glysolv PM®)		to 1L

1.5 Formulation E

Component		g/L
25	Oxyclozanide	350
Ivermectin		7.5
Polyoxyethylene (20) sorbitan monooleate (Ecoteric® T 80)		200
Water		150
Sodium dodecyl sulphate		20
30	Brilliant Blue FCF	0.16
Diethylene glycol monobutyl ether		to 1L

1.6 Formulation F

Component		g/L
Triclabendazole		240
Ivermectin		10.0
5	Polyoxyethylene (20) sorbitan monolaurate (Ecoteric T 20)	200
Polyethylene glycol 200 (PEG 200)		30
Water		150
Sodium dodecyl sulphate		20
Brilliant Blue FCF		0.16
10	Diethylene glycol monobutyl ether	to 1L

1.7 Formulation G

Component		g/L
Triclabendazole		240
Ivermectin		15.0
15	Polyoxyethylene (20) sorbitan monolaurate (Ecoteric T 20)	200
Polyethylene glycol 200 (PEG 200)		30
Water		150
Sodium dodecyl sulphate		20
Brilliant Blue FCF		0.16
20	Diethylene glycol monobutyl ether	to 1L

Other stable aqueous micellar formulations according to the invention are described in Examples 2 and 3.

The formulations were prepared by the following procedure:

25 Step 1. Charge 80% of the total volume of water-miscible solvent and the surfactant to a manufacturing vessel. Heat to 40 – 75°C with stirring.

Step 2. Add the benzimidazole or salicylanilide incrementally with continued stirring and heating until dissolved.

Step 3. Add sequentially the water, and optionally stabilizers and dye, stirring until dissolved.

Step4. Cool to room temperature with continued stirring.

Step 5. Add the macrocyclic lactone incrementally with stirring until dissolved.

Step 6. Add the remaining solvent to volume.

Example 2 – Pharmacokinetic studies

5 Materials and methods

Formulations according to the invention were tested for their efficacy in delivering benzimidazoles and macrocyclic lactones to the bloodstream of mammals (cattle), and compared to the efficacy in delivering these agents to animals' bloodstreams by standard commercially available drench (Fasinex 120®), and an experimental solvent-based triclabendazole/ ivermectin pour-on formulation.

Cattle (typically Hereford or Hereford cross) with either natural or artificially infected burdens of fluke and nematodes were used in pen and field trials. Within a given trial animals were allotted into treatment groups, each having similar mean weights and fluke and nematode burdens. Experimental treatments were applied along the backline using a commercially available backliner gun fitted with a plastic shroud to ensure correct delivery of the formulation according to the protocol.

Blood samples (plasma) were taken by venipuncture of the jugular vein at the designated time intervals. Analysis for triclabendazole and ivermectin residues in the plasma was carried out and reported by commercial contract laboratories .

Ivermectin was extracted from the plasma using acetonitrile and concentrated by evaporation. The sample was cleaned up by solid phase extraction (SPE) chromatography and the ivermectin determined as the N-methyl imidazole derivative using reverse phase HPLC with fluorescence detection.

The triclabendazole was extracted from the plasma using ethyl acetate. Following concentration and SPE clean up, the triclabendazole and its sulphone and sulphoxide metabolites were analysed by reverse phase HPLC using UV detection.

Results

Initial feasibility studies for development of an efficient flukicide product were based on the pharmacokinetic profile of triclabendazole only. Although noting that the bioavailability of the active agents is always delayed after application as
5 a pour-on formulation compared to a drench treatment, blood plasma levels for the experimental formulations were targeted at the maximum triclabendazole plasma levels (C_{\max}) produced by the currently available flukicide, Fasinex[®] 120 (triclabendazole C_{\max} 16.5 μ g/mL after 2 days) , when applied at a rate of 12mg/kg bodyweight.

10 Having reference to Table 1, the following results were obtained.

In a first feasibility trial (Hereford male weaner cattle, average weight of approximately 200kg, 2 animals per group), a solvent-based formulation (N-methyl pyrrolidone/ Butyl diGlysol[®], Formulation 1), triclabendazole was applied at 50mg/kg to achieve similar plasma levels as per the currently available
15 flukicide, Fasinex[®] 120 (15.7 μ g/mL after 7 days). Such a dose rate is not commercially viable.

In a second feasibility trial (Hereford male and female weaner cattle, average weight of approximately 160kg, 3 animals per group) the triclabendazole dose rate was reduced to a more commercially acceptable level (12mg/kg). A
20 surfactant (Teric[®] BL8) was added to Formulation 1 to improve the formulation's hide wettability to produce Formulation 2 (non aqueous micelle), and N-methyl pyrrolidine solvent was removed. Triclabendazole C_{\max} (total metabolite) plasma levels achieved were low (2.0 μ g/mL).

Addition of 15 % water to Formulation 1 produced Formulation 3 (Formulation C
25 described in Example 1.3 above, an aqueous micelle), and this increased the triclabendazole C_{\max} achieved to 4.8 μ g/mL.

TABLE 1

Formulation and Type	Formulation Details	g or mL per litre	Dose Rate mg/kg	Plasma C _{max}	T _{max} days
1	Triclabendazole	250g	50	15.7µg/mL	7
	Ivermectin	2.5g			
	N- Methyl pyrrolidone	400mL			
	Butyl di Glysolv®	575mL			
Control Fasinox 120	120 g/L TCBZ		12	16.5µg/mL	2
2	Triclabendazole	120g	12	2.0µg/mL	7
	Ivermectin	5.0g			
	Non-aqueous Teric® BL8	200g			
	micelle Benzyl alcohol	30g			
	Butyl di Glysolv®	650mL			
3	Triclabendazole	120g	12	4.8µg/mL	7
	Ivermectin	5.0g			
	Aqueous Teric® BL8	200g			
	micelle Water	150g			
	Benzyl alcohol	30g			
	Butyl di Glysolv®	520mL			
4	Triclabendazole	120g	12	8.7µg/mL	7
	Ivermectin	5.0g	0.5	1.3ng/mL	5
	Aqueous Teric® BL8	200g			
	micelle Water	250g			
	Benzyl alcohol	30g			
	Glysolv PM®	420mL			
	Dihydrogen Sodium Phosphate	7.84g			
	Disodium Hydrogen phosphate	0.91g			
5	Triclabendazole	120g	12	8.7µg/mL	7
	Ivermectin	5.0g	0.5	2.6ng/mL	2
	Aqueous Teric® BL8	200g			
	micelle Water	150g			
	Benzyl alcohol	30g			
	Glysolv PM®	520mL			
	Dihydrogen sodium Phosphate	7.84g			
	Disodium hydrogen phosphate	0.91g			

TABLE 1 (continued)

Formulation ID and Type	Formulation Details	g or mL per litre	Dose Rate mg/kg	Plasma C _{max}	T _{max} days
6	Triclabendazole	120g	12	15.9µg/mL	7
	Ivermectin	5.0g	0.5	2.8ng/mL	5
Aqueous	Ecoteric® T20	200g			
micelle	Water	250g			
	Benzyl alcohol	30g			
	Glysolv PM®	420mL			
	Dihydrogen Sodium Phosphate	7.84g			
	Disodium Hydrogen phosphate	0.91 g			
7	Triclabendazole	120g	12	12.9µg/mL	7
	Ivermectin	5.0g	0.5	3.0ng/mL	7
Aqueous	Ecoteric® T80	200g			
micelle	Water	250g			
	Benzyl alcohol	30g			
	Glysolv PM®	420mL			
	Dihydrogen Sodium Phosphate	7.84g			
	Disodium Hydrogen phosphate	0.91g			

In a further feasibility trial (Hereford female cattle, average weight of approximately 235kg, 3 animals per group), the water content in the formulation was increased to 25% and Butyl di Glysolv® was replaced with Glysolv PM®. The resulting Formulation 4, provided an increased triclabendazole C_{max} of 8.7µg/mL - almost double that achieved with Formulation 3. The ivermectin C_{max} achieved was 1.3ng/mL at 5 days.

A similar formulation, Formulation 5, had a water content of 15 %. Although the C_{max} for triclabendazole was almost the same, 8.6µg/mL, the C_{max} for ivermectin was 2.6ng/mL at 2 days.

Replacing Teric® BL8 in Formulation 4 with Ecoteric® T20 resulted in Formulation 6 (with a water content of 25%) – this formulation achieved substantially the same plasma levels as Fasinex® 120 drench (triclabendazole C_{max} of 15.9µg/mL versus 16.5µg/mL) applied at the equivalent dose rate of 12mg/kg. The C_{max} achieved for ivermectin was 2.8ng/mL at 5 days.

Formulation 7 again showed increased bioavailability of triclabendazole when Teric® BL8 was replaced with Ecoteric® T80. The C_{max} achieved for triclabendazole was 12.9µg/mL and the C_{max} achieved for ivermectin was 3.0ng/mL at 2 days.

- 5 Having reference to Table 2, in a further feasibility trial (Hereford female weaner cattle, average weight of approximately 200kg, 3 animals per group) reduction of the water content of the formulations to 150g/L, and reverting to Ecoteric® T20 in place of Ecoteric® T80, increased the efficiency of delivery of ivermectin, the ivermectin plasma C_{max} values for the formulations ranging from 8ng/mL to
- 10 13ng/mL.

Table 2

Formulation Components	g or mL per litre	Dose Rate mg/kg	AUC	Mean plasma	Plasma C_{max}	T_{max} days
Triclabendazole	90g	9.0	72µg.d/mL	3.6µg/mL	9µg/mL	5
Ivermectin	10.0g	1.0	88ng.d/mL	4.4ng/mL	8ng/mL	5
Ecoteric® T20	200g					
Water	150g					
Benzyl alcohol	30g					
Triethanolamine	5.0g					
GlysoLv PM®	608mL					
Triclabendazole	120g	12	85µg.d/mL	4.1µg/mL	12µg/mL	5
Ivermectin	5.0g	0.5	52ng.d/mL	2.5ng/mL	8ng/mL	5
Ecoteric® T20	200g					
Water	150g					
Benzyl alcohol	30g					
Triethanolamine	5.0g					
GlysoLv PM®	588mL					
Triclabendazole	180g	18	139µg.d/mL	6.8µg/mL	18µg/mL	5
Ivermectin	7.5g	0.75	79ng.d/mL	4.1ng/mL	13ng/mL	5
Ecoteric® T20	200g					
Water	150g					
Benzyl alcohol	30g					
Triethanolamine	5.0g					
GlysoLv PM®	553mL					

From the results provided in Tables 1 and 2, it is apparent that the pharmacokinetics of the active agents can be altered as desired by manipulating the water content, and the type and content of the surfactant and/or the co-solvent used in micellar formulations according to the invention.

Manipulation of the solvent and co-solvent type has also been found during the course of these experiments to affect the physical stability of the micellar formulations, use of a combination of Butyl diGlysol[®] and PEG 200 providing the best cold storage stability and highest maximum concentration for triclabendazole of the formulations tested, thereby providing a more rugged product suitable for application to animals in the cooler months of late autumn or early spring - although there is no published data, it has been reported that greater amounts of active components need to be applied to animals in colder months to get the required efficacy, and these months are typically the most important in liver fluke control.

Example 3 – Dosing studies

Example 3.1 – Concentration effect (constant volume)

Having reference to Table 2, it can be seen that altering the concentration of triclabendazole and/or ivermectin in the aqueous micellar formulations of the invention provides a corresponding change in AUC, when applied to the animal in the same volume of formulation (1mL applied/ 10kg animal).

Example 3.2 – Concentration effect (constant dose)

Having reference to Table 3, in a critical slaughter efficacy trial of formulations according to the invention (methods as per Example 2; mixed sex Hereford weaner cattle, average weight of approximately 200kg, 5 animals per group), an aqueous micellar formulation according to the invention comprising triclabendazole at 240g/L, but varying ivermectin concentration was applied at a constant ivermectin dosage rate (0.5mg/kg), but varying triclabendazole dosage rate (12 to 36mg/kg).

The results show that application of a more concentrated ivermectin dose in a smaller volume (same final ivermectin dose rate), resulted in improved pharmacokinetic results, including greater C_{max} and/or greater bioavailability (AUC) of the ivermectin.

Table 3

Formulation Components	g or mL per litre	Dose Rate mg/kg	Dose Rate mL/kg	AUC	Plasma C _{max}	T _{max} days
Triclabendazole	240g	12	1ml/20	73µg.d/mL	8.3µg/mL	5
Ivermectin	10g	0.5	1ml/20	104ng.d/mL	10.4ng/mL	7
Ecoteric® T20	200g					
PEG 200	30g					
Water	150g					
Triethanolamine	0.74g					
Brilliant Blue FCF	0.16g					
Butyl di Glysolv®	491mL					
Triclabendazole	240	24	1ml/10	129µg.d/mL	15.1µg/mL	5
Ivermectin	5	0.5	1ml/10	84ng.d/mL	9.5ng/mL	5
Ecoteric® T20	200					
PEG 200	30					
Water	150					
Sodium dodecyl sulphate	20					
Brilliant Blue FCF	0.16					
Butyl di Glysolv®	480 mL					
Triclabendazole	240g	36	1ml/6.6 7	177µg.d/mL	18.6µg/mL	7
Ivermectin	3.33g	0.5	1ml/6.6 7	82ng.d/mL	7.5ng/mL	7
Ecoteric® T20	200g					
PEG 200	30g					
Water	150g					
Triethanolamine	1.12g					
Brilliant Blue FCF	0.16g					
Butyl di Glysolv®	498mL					

In another trial (also carried out as described in Example 2), a formulation according to the invention having 180g/L triclabendazole and 7.5g/L ivermectin, and a formulation having 240g/L triclabendazole and 10g/L ivermectin, were applied to animals over different area sizes on the backs of the animals (from the middle of the back towards the rump), while maintaining the same dose rate for the active constituents. The results, shown in Table 4, show that application of the ivermectin and triclabendazole in a higher concentration formulation applied over a smaller area makes the active agents more bioavailable.

TABLE 4

Formulation details	g or mL per litre	Dose rate (mg/kg)	Mean Treatment Area (cm ²)	Mean plasma conc ⁿ	AUC
Triclabendazole	180	12.0	110	3.3µg/mL	65µg.d/mL
Ivermectin	7.5	0.5		1.7ng/mL	30ng.d/mL
Ecoteric T 20®	200	(1mL/ 15 kg)			
PEG 200	30				
Water	150				
Triethanolamine	0.15				
Brilliant Blue FCF	0.16				
Butyl diGlysolv®	536mL				
Triclabendazole	240	12.0	76	5.1µg/mL	170µg.d/mL
Ivermectin	10.0	0.5 (1mL/20kg)		2.2ng/mL	43ng.d/mL
Ecoteric T 20®	200				
PEG 200	30				
Water	150				
Triethanolamine	0.3				
Brilliant Blue FCF	0.16				
Butyl diGlysolv®	500mL				

Example 4 – Stability studies

Samples of formulation A, the composition and preparation of which is described in Example 1, which contains sodium dodecyl sulphate, were stored at 4, 30 and 40°C in 250 mL high density polyethylene bottles sealed with screw caps, sampled at 1, 2, 3, 6 and 12 months, and tested for ivermectin and triclabendazole content. Triclabendazole and ivermectin content of the formulations was determined using validated stability indicating methods based on reversed phase HPLC with UV detection. The results, provided in Table 5, demonstrate the chemical stability of the formulation at accelerated storage conditions – effectively no degradation of the active components occurred even after 6 months storage at 40°C. After 12 months storage at 30°C there was still no measured degradation of the triclabendazole and ivermectin components. After 12 months at 40°C there was less than 5% breakdown of the ivermectin component.

TABLE 5

Storage Temp. (°C)	Triclabendazole Content (g/L) after storage time (months):					Ivermectin Content (g/L) after storage time (months):				
	1	2	3	6	12	1	2	3	6	12
4°C	250	248	247	241	247	7.55	7.53	7.84	7.53	7.44
30°C	247	248	247	240	248	7.47	7.52	7.77	7.49	7.43
40°C	247	249	241	242	246	7.45	7.55	7.71	7.41	7.25

Samples of formulation G, the composition and preparation of which is described in Example 1, which contains sodium dodecyl sulphate, were stored at 4, 30 and 40°C in 250 mL high density polyethylene bottles sealed with screw caps, sampled at 1, 2 and 3 months, and tested for ivermectin and triclabendazole content. Triclabendazole and ivermectin content of the formulations was determined using validated stability indicating methods based on reversed phase HPLC with UV detection. The results, provided in Table 6, demonstrate the chemical stability of the formulation at accelerated storage conditions – effectively no degradation of the active components occurred even after 2 months storage at 30 or 40°C.

TABLE 6

Storage Temp. (°C)	Triclabendazole Content (g/L) after storage time (months):			Ivermectin Content (g/L) after storage time (months):		
	1	2	3	1	2	3
4°C	243	241	238	14.7	14.8	14.7
30°C	241	239	236	14.5	14.5	14.6
40°C	237	239	237	14.5	14.5	14.5

In another stability trial a number of substances were tested for their potential as a stabilizer for the formulations, ivermectin being unstable in inadequately stabilised formulations. The substances were each tested at a concentration of 10.0 g/L, except phosphate buffers, in a formulation otherwise having the following composition (per Litre):

Triclabendazole	120g
Ivermectin	5.0g
Teric BL 8®	200g

Benzyl alcohol	30g
Water	150g
Brilliant Blue FCF	0.16g
Butyl Di Glysolv®	approximately 485 mL (to volume)

- 5 The samples were stored at 50°C in 250 mL high density polyethylene bottles sealed with screw caps, and sampled at 3 months, and tested for ivermectin and triclabendazole content. Triclabendazole and ivermectin content of the formulations was determined using validated stability indicating methods based on reversed phase HPLC with UV detection. The data, provided in Table 7, illustrate the difficulty of stabilising the ivermectin component of the formulation.

From the stability data it was concluded that inclusion of anionic surfactants such as the linear alkyl sulphate sodium dodecyl sulphate, or buffering agents such as one or more monobasic/ dibasic phosphates, or mixtures thereof, in the formulations of the invention significantly improve the stability of the ivermectin component.

Table 7

Candidate Stabilizer	g/L	Triclabendazole Content (g/L) after storage time:		Ivermectin Content (g/L) after storage time:		
		Initial	3 months @ 50°C	Initial	3 months @ 50°C	% Ivermectin Breakdown
-	-	124.1	122.0	4.96	4.33	12.7
Butylated hydroxy toluene (BHT)	10.0	123.8	122.5	4.92	4.36	11.4
Epoxidised Resin (ERL 4221)	10.0	123.2	123.2	4.89	3.45	29.4
Vitamin E Acetate	10.0	123.1	122.2	4.87	4.36	10.5
Triethanolamine	10.0	121.7	122.4	4.70	1.88	60.0
Disodium hydrogen phosphate	0.18	110.0	109.5	4.39	4.24	3.4
Dihydrogen sodium phosphate	1.57					

Example 5 – Efficacy studies

Materials and Methods

Cattle (typically Hereford or Hereford cross breed) with either natural or artificially infected burdens of fluke and nematodes were used in pen and field trials. They were allotted into treatment groups, each having similar mean weights and fluke and nematode burdens. Experimental treatments were applied along the backline from the middle of the back towards the rump, using a commercially available backliner gun fitted with a plastic shroud to ensure correct delivery of the formulation according to the protocol.

Efficacy was measured by either decrease in faecal egg counts over time or total parasite counts from gastrointestinal tracts and livers recovered after slaughter. The reported data are based on group arithmetic and/or group geometric means.

Efficacy based on faecal worm egg counts were calculated as follows:

$$\% \text{ Efficacy} = 100 [1 - (T_2 C_1 / T_1 C_2)]$$

where T, C, 1 and 2 refer to treated, control, pre-treatment and post treatment mean worm egg counts respectively.

All other Efficacy data were calculated using the formula:

$$\% \text{Efficacy} = 100(C-T/C)$$

where T and C refer to treated and control mean total worm counts respectively.

For critical slaughter nematode efficacy studies, the animals were slaughtered at 14 or 21 days post treatment.

For critical slaughter efficacy studies against all stages of the liver fluke (artificially infested), the animals were slaughtered 100 days after treatment.

Results

Example 5.1

A critical slaughter pen efficacy trial (naturally acquired fluke and nematodes) involved mixed sex Hereford and Hereford/Angus cross weaned calves selected from 2 large commercial herds. The animals were randomly allocated to groups of 5 animals such that each group had a similar mean and range of *Fasciola hepatica* egg counts and body weights. Prior to treatment, animals were moved

to a research feedlot to avoid further infection. At treatment the animals were weighed and treated with formulations of the triclabendazole + ivermectin pour on administered at different dose volumes and active concentrations. One group of 5 animals remained as untreated negative control.

- 5 All animals were slaughtered 19 to 21 days post treatment, gastrointestinal tracts and livers recovered, and total worm and fluke numbers determined.

Treatment formulations involving different concentrations of active components and/or different excipients were tested, these formulations being as follows:

Group 1	<u>g or mL/L</u>	<u>Dosage rate (mg/kg)</u>
Triclabendazole	240g	12
Ivermectin	10.0g	0.5
Ecoteric T20®	200g	
PEG 200	30g	
Water	150g	
Triethanolamine	0.74g	
Brilliant Blue FCF	0.16g	
Butyl diGlysolv®	491mL	
Group 2	<u>g or mL/L</u>	<u>Dosage rate (mg/kg)</u>
Triclabendazole	240g	24
Ivermectin	5.0g	0.5
Ecoteric T20®	200g	
PEG 200	30g	
Water	150g	
Triethanolamine	1.27g	
Brilliant Blue FCF	0.16g	
Butyl diGlysolv®	494mL	
Group 3		
Triclabendazole	240g	36
Ivermectin	3.33g	0.5
Ecoteric T20®	200g	
PEG 200	30g	
Water	150g	
Triethanolamine	1.12g	
Brilliant Blue FCF	0.16g	
Butyl diGlysolv®	498mL	
Group 4		
Triclabendazole	240g	24
Ivermectin	5.0g	0.5
Ecoteric T20®	180g	
PEG 200	30g	
Water	150g	
Brilliant Blue FCF	0.16g	
Sodium dodecyl sulphate	20g	
Butyl diGlysolv®	480mL	

31

Group 5

	<u>g or mL/L</u>	<u>Dosage rate (mg/kg)</u>
Triclabendazole	240g	24
Ivermectin	5.0g	0.5
Ecoteric T20®	200g	
PEG 200	30g	
Water	150g	
Brilliant Blue FCF	0.16g	
Sodium dodecyl sulphate	20g	
Butyl diGlysol®	480mL	

Group 6

Triclabendazole	240g	24
Ivermectin	5.0g	0.5
Ecoteric T20®	200g	
PEG 200	30g	
Water	150g	
Brilliant Blue FCF	0.16g	
Sodium dodecyl sulphate	20g	
Butyl diGlysol®	316mL	
Ethylene glycoldiacetate	155mL	

The results, provided in Table 8, show that effective control of flukes and nematodes is achievable using a practical volume of an aqueous micellar pour-on formulation of the present invention.

5 The product was 100 % effective against adult *Fasciola hepatica* at dose rates of 12, 24 and 36 mg/kg triclabendazole and effective against nematodes at a dose rate of 0.5 mg/kg ivermectin. In this trial, an effective treatment of animals for endoparasites was achieved using 1mL/ 20kg of a formulation including 240g/L triclabendazole and 10.0g/L ivermectin (12mg/kg triclabendazole and 0.5 mg/kg ivermectin).

TABLE 8

TABLE 2

% Treatment efficacy against parasites					
(values based on the geometric mean of total worm count are given in brackets where different to those based on the arithmetic mean)					
Group No.	Liver	Abomasum			
	<i>F. hepatica</i> (adult)	<i>H. contortus</i> (adult)	<i>Ostertagia spp</i> (adult)	<i>T. axei</i> (adult)	
1	100	>99.9	>99.9	>99.9	
2	100	>99.9	98.2 (96.4)	>99.9	
3	100	>99.9	95.8 (86.6)	>99.9	
4	100	>99.9	89.1 (81.8)	>99.9	
5	100	>99.9	>99.9	>99.9	
6	100	>99.9	69.2 (91.9)	>99.9	
Group No.	Small intestine				
	<i>Trichostrongylus spp</i> (adult)	<i>Cooperia spp</i> (adult)	<i>Cooperia spp</i> (immature)	<i>Cooperia spp</i> L4	<i>Nematodirus spp</i> (adult)
1	94.4	88.5 (96.7)	>99.9	92.3 (85.9)	negative
2	54.9 (negative)	56.1 (66.4)	>99.9	>99.9	negative
3	85.9 (84.9)	91.4 (88.3)	>99.9	>99.9	50 (18.5)
4	57.7 (93.8)	80.2 (84.3)	>99.9	>99.9	25 (8)
5	92.5 (96.1)	89.8 (98.7)	>99.9	>99.9	>99.9
6	91.5 (88.3)	36.3 (83.6)	>99.9	53.8 (75.8)	>99.9
Group No.	Large intestine				
	<i>Oesophagostomum</i> (adult)		<i>Trichuris</i> (adult)		
1	>99.9		99.9 (>99.9)		
2	>99.9		14.3 (negative)		
3	>99.9		99.9 (>99.9)		
4	>99.9		99.9 (>99.9)		
5	>99.9		85.7 (71.2)		
6	>99.9		85.7 (71.2)		

Example 5.2

Two critical slaughter studies were designed to compare the efficacy of a formulation according to the invention (see below) against immature and adult stages of the liver fluke *Fasciola hepatica*, and naturally acquired roundworm infections in cattle. The efficacy of the triclabendazole + ivermectin pour-on against immature and mature stages of *Fasciola hepatica* based on arithmetic

mean was 70.5% and 99.2% respectively. Control of gastrointestinal strongyles by the test formulation (Group 5, Example 5.1, Table 8) as assessed using total worm counts at slaughter was 86% to 99.9% (arithmetic mean) for nematodes found in the abomasum, small and large intestines.

5 Test formulation - described in Example 1.1, Formulation A

Component	g or mL/L	Dose Rate (mg/kg)
Triclabendazole	240g	24.0
Ivermectin	7.5 g	0.75
Ecoteric T20®	200g	
10 PEG 200	30g	
Water	150g	
Brilliant Blue FCF	0.16g	
Sodium dodecyl sulphate	20g	
Butyl diGlysolv®	approximately 475 mL (to volume)	

15 **Example 5.3**

Three field trials (faecal egg count reduction tests) were designed to determine the efficacy of the formulation described in Example 5.2 under field conditions. Sixty cattle were split into groups of 15, one of the groups remaining as an untreated control. Good efficacy of the formulation against *Fasciola hepatica* as
20 assessed by a reduction in faecal egg counts as compared to the untreated controls of >90% (AM) was reported in all trials 14 days post treatment.

Example 5.4

A field trial was designed to determine the efficacy of the following formulation against a mixed natural infection of adult and immature liver flukes and adult and
25 immature nematode species.

Component	g /L	Dose Rate (mg/kg)
Triclabendazole	240g	24.0
Ivermectin	7.5 g	0.75
Ecoteric T20®	200g	
30 PEG 200	30g	

Water	150g
Brilliant Blue FCF	0.16g
Sodium dodecyl sulphate	20g
Butyl diGlysolv®	approximately 450 mL (to volume)

5 Thirty (30) Angus cross and Limousin cross weaners, between 5 and 6 months of age, and weighing 112-242 kg, were selected from a larger commercial herd running at Armidale, New South Wales, Australia, on the basis of pre trial individual strongyle egg counts. The cattle grazed in open paddocks on a mixture of native and improved pasture with supplementary feed (buckwheat)
10 provided on a daily basis. Over the treatment period at the Armidale Saleyards cattle had ad-lib access to Lucerne hay. The cattle had not been exposed to any anthelmintic treatments for a period of three (3) months prior to the trial start date.

Prior to treatment cattle were ranked from highest to lowest on individual pre trial
15 liver strongyle faecal egg counts (Day -3), split into females and castrated males, blocked and randomly allocated to two (2) treatment groups such that the groups had a similar mean and range of strongyle faecal egg counts within the group. On day zero (0), all trial cattle were weighed and vaccinated with UltraVac 7 in 1 Vaccine (CSL Limited). The animals of Group 1 were left untreated, serving as
20 negative controls. Group 2 was treated with the triclabendazole (240g/L) + ivermectin (7.5g/L) pour on formulation applied topically from the middle of the back to the base of the tail at a dose volume of 1mL/10kg. A prototype applicator which ensured the formulation was applied as a wide band was used for treatment.

25 Faecal samples were collected from all trial cattle on day zero (0) and on days seven (7) fourteen (14) twenty one (21) and twenty eight (28) of the trial. Strongyle and liver fluke faecal egg counts and group bulk coprocultures for larval differentiation were performed on samples collected. Raw strongyle and fluke faecal egg counts were collated by treatment group and arithmetic means
30 calculated. Geometric means were also calculated using transformed individual egg counts. Treatment efficacy, based on both arithmetic and geometric group means were calculated as follows:

$$\% \text{ Efficacy} = (\text{control group mean} - \text{treatment group mean}) / \text{control group mean} \times 100$$

Pre treatment *Fasciola* and strongyle faecal egg counts were high, with a mean Strongyle faecal egg count of 802.7 e.p.g. (range 160-6120) and a mean *Fasciola* faecal egg count of 46 e.p.g. (range 0-1525) pre trial. Five genera of helminths were identified from group bulk coprocultures including: *Haemonchus* spp., *Trichostrongylus* spp., *Ostertagia* spp., *Cooperia* spp and *Oesophagostomum* spp.. *Cooperia* spp made up on average 70% of the bulk coproculture for the untreated controls from day 0 to day 28. Group arithmetic and geometric mean *Fasciola* faecal egg counts over the duration of the trial are presented in Table 9. Good control (>90% efficacy arithmetic mean, >97% efficacy geometric mean) of *Fasciola hepatica* was achieved with the triclabendazole + ivermectin pour on, 7, 14, 21 and 28 days post treatment. Treatment efficacies based on arithmetic and geometric mean fluke faecal egg counts are presented in Table 10.

TABLE 9

Fasciola faecal egg counts (e.p.g – eggs per gram; Arithmetic mean – AM; Geometric mean - GM)										
Group No.	Day 0		Day 7		Day 14		Day 21		Day 28	
	AM	GM	AM	GM	AM	GM	AM	GM	AM	GM
1 (control)	58.4	44.3	86.7	44.6	86.3	55	49.1	28.6	64.2	23.8
2	159	47.2	1.4	0.4	6.8	1.3	2.6	0.3	3.3	0.6

TABLE 10

Treatment Efficacy using arithmetic mean (AM) and geometric mean (GM) fluke faecal egg counts (percent reduction from untreated controls)							
Day 7		Day 14		Day 21		Day 28	
AM	GM	AM	GM	AM	GM	AM	GM
98.4	99.2	92.2	97.7	94.6	99.0	94.8	97.5

Group arithmetic and geometric mean strongyle faecal egg counts over the duration of the trial are presented in Table 11. Efficacy of the triclabendazole + ivermectin pour on against strongyles was greater than 93% (geometric means) 7 and 28 days post treatment, and 89.8% and 83.5% 14 and 21 days post treatment. Efficacy based on arithmetic and geometric faecal egg counts are presented in Table 12.

TABLE 11

Strongyle faecal egg counts (e.p.g – eggs per gram; Arithmetic mean – AM; Geometric mean - GM)										
Group No.	Day 0		Day 7		Day 14		Day 21		Day 28	
	AM	GM	AM	GM	AM	GM	AM	GM	AM	GM
1 (control)	501	601	333	137.3	163	93.6	136	64.9	173	138.4
2	747	891	112	4.5	90.0	9.6	54.3	10.7	60.0	0.6

TABLE 12

Treatment Efficacy using arithmetic mean (AM) and geometric mean (GM) strongyle faecal egg counts (percent reduction from untreated controls)							
Day 7		Day 14		Day 21		Day 28	
AM	GM	AM	GM	AM	GM	AM	GM
66.4	96.7	44.7	89.8	60.1	83.5	65.4	93.4

Example 5.5

- 5 A further field trial was designed to determine the efficacy of the formulation described in Example 5.4 against a mixed natural infection of adult and immature liver flukes and adult and immature nematode species.

10 Thirty (30) Angus and Angus cross heifers, between 12 and 14 months of age, and weighing 126-284 kg, were selected from a larger commercial herd running at Walcha, New South Wales, Australia, on the basis of pre trial individual strongyle egg counts. The cattle grazed in open paddocks on a mixture of native and improved pasture with ad-lib access to water. The cattle had not been exposed to any anthelmintic treatments for a period of three (3) months prior to the trial start date.

- 15 Prior to treatment cattle were ranked from highest to lowest on individual pre trial liver strongyle faecal egg counts (Day -1), blocked and randomly allocated to two (2) treatment groups such that the groups had a similar mean and range of strongyle faecal egg counts within the group. On day zero (0), all trial cattle were weighed. The animals of Group 1 were left untreated, serving as negative controls. Group 2 was treated with the triclabendazole (240g/L) + ivermectin
20 (7.5g/L) pour on formulation applied topically from the middle of the back to the

base of the tail at a dose volume of 1mL/10kg. A prototype applicator which ensured the formulation was applied as a wide band was used for treatment.

Faecal samples were collected from all trial cattle on day zero (0) and on days seven (7) fourteen (14) twenty one (21) and twenty nine (29) of the trial.

5 Strongyle faecal egg counts and group bulk coprocultures for larval differentiation were performed on samples collected. Raw strongyle egg counts were collated by treatment group and arithmetic means calculated. Geometric means were also calculated using transformed individual egg counts. Treatment efficacy, based on both arithmetic and geometric group means were calculated as follows:

$$10 \quad \% \text{ Efficacy} = (\text{control group mean} - \text{treatment group mean}) / \text{control group mean} \times 100$$

Pre treatment strongyle faecal egg counts were high, with a mean Strongyle faecal egg count of 288 e.p.g. (range 40-1320). Four genera of helminths were identified from group bulk coprocultures at day zero (0) including: *Haemonchus* spp., *Ostertagia* spp., *Cooperia* spp and *Oesophagostomum* spp.. *Cooperia* spp made up on average 70-80% of the bulk coproculture for the untreated controls from day 0 to day 29. Group arithmetic and geometric mean strongyle faecal egg counts over the duration of the trial are presented in Table 13. Efficacy of the triclabendazole + ivermectin pour on against strongyles reached a maximum 84% reduction in egg counts (arithmetic means) 7 days post treatment, and 78%, 59% and 63% 14, 21 and 29 days post treatment. Treatment efficacies based on arithmetic and geometric strongyle egg counts are presented in Table 14.

TABLE 13

Strongyle faecal egg counts										
(e.p.g – eggs per gram; Arithmetic mean – AM; Geometric mean - GM)										
Group No.	Day 0		Day 7		Day 14		Day 21		Day 28	
	AM	GM	AM	GM	AM	GM	AM	GM	AM	GM
1 (control)	344	262	203	95	267	175	216	129	208	116
2	379	273	32	2	59	22	88	21	77	26

TABLE 14

Treatment Efficacy using arithmetic mean (AM) and geometric mean (GM) strongyle faecal egg counts (percent reduction from untreated controls)							
Day 7		Day 14		Day 21		Day 28	
AM	GM	AM	GM	AM	GM	AM	GM
84.2	98.2	78	87.5	59.3	83.6	62.8	77.4

Example 5.6

A dose evaluation critical slaughter study was designed to compare the pharmacokinetics and efficacy of the developmental topical triclabendazole + ivermectin formulation described in Example 5.4 (240g/L triclabendazole and 7.5 g/L ivermectin), and the developmental topical triclabendazole + ivermectin formulations of formulae F (240 g/L triclabendazole and 10 g/L ivermectin) and G (240 g/L triclabendazole and 15 g/L ivermectin) described in Examples 1.6 and 1.7 respectively against a mixed natural infection of gastrointestinal strongyles, so as to determine the optimum concentration of ivermectin in the formulation for effective control of *Cooperia spp* as well as the other nematodes.

Fifty (50) Hereford and Angus cross steers, aged between five to six (5-6) months and weighing between 102-164kg at treatment, were selected from a larger mob at Casino on the North Coast of NSW, Australia on the basis of pre trial individual strongyle faecal egg counts. The cattle were relocated to "Kirby", Armidale NSW, Australia twenty days prior to treatment and grazed in open paddocks on a mixture of native and improved pastures. Trial cattle were fed Lucerne hay while they were held in the Armidale Saleyards (day 0 through to day 2). The cattle had not been exposed to triclabendazole or ivermectin for a period of three (3) months prior to the trial start date and had no known resistance by gastrointestinal strongyles to macrocyclic lactones.

Five (5) days prior to treatment faecal samples were collected from each animal for individual faecal egg counts and bulk coproculture. Triplicate blood samples were collected for triclabendazole and ivermectin plasma analysis. One (1) day prior to treatment Twenty five (25) trial cattle were re-located to the Armidale Saleyards, ranked from highest to lowest according to individual egg counts (day -5), sequentially blocked and allocated at random to five (5) groups of five (5) animals, such that each group had a similar mean and range of strongyle faecal

egg counts. The animals of Group 1 were left untreated, serving as negative controls. Group 2 was treated with the 240g/L triclabendazole + 7.5g/L ivermectin pour on formulation. Group 3 was treated with the 240g/L triclabendazole + 10.0g/L ivermectin pour on formulation. Group 4 was treated with the 240g/L triclabendazole + 15.0g/L ivermectin pour on formulation. All formulations were applied topically from the middle of the back to the base of the tail at a dose volume of 1mL/10kg (according to a dose break table). A prototype applicator which ensured the formulation was applied as a wide band was used for treatment. Two (2) day after treatment all cattle were re-located from the Armidale Saleyards to the Kirby feedlot for the remainder of the trial.

Faecal samples were collected from each individual animal in all groups five (5) days prior to treatment then nine (9) for individual faecal egg counts and coprocultures pre and post treatment. All trial cattle were sacrificed 13, 14 and 15 days post treatment. Faecal samples, abomassa, small intestine and large intestine were collected from each animal for faecal egg counts, group coprocultures and total worm counts (adult and immature). Treatment efficacy was assessed by comparison of group arithmetic and geometric mean total worm counts (as described in Examples 5.4 and 5.5) by nematode species and strongyle faecal egg counts following sacrifice and organ recovery.

Pre treatment egg counts were generally high ranging from 480-1480 eggs per gram (e.p.g.) of faeces.

At 13 - 15 days post treatment, animals treated with the pour-on formulations produced a reduction in egg counts when compared to the untreated controls of between 73% (240 g/L triclabendazole plus 7.5 g/L ivermectin) to 98% (240 g/L triclabendazole plus 15.0 g/L ivermectin) (arithmetic means) and between 94 % and > 99 % respectively (geometric means). (Table 15).

Table 15

Treatment efficacies at Days 9 and 13, 14, 15, as assessed using arithmetic and geometric group mean faecal egg counts.			
Group	Treatment	EPG Day 9	EPG Days 13-15
Arithmetic data			
2	IVM 7.5mg/mL + TCBZ 240mg/mL	82.8%	72.8%
3	IVM 10mg/mL + TCBZ 240mg/mL	95.4%	89.1%
4	IVM 15mg/mL + TCBZ 240mg/mL	97.7%	97.5%
Geometric data			
2	IVM 7.5mg/mL + TCBZ 240mg/mL	96.7%	93.9%
3	IVM 10mg/mL + TCBZ 240mg/mL	99.3%	98.3%
4	IVM 15mg/mL + TCBZ 240mg/mL	99.5%	99.7%

IVM – ivermectin, TCBZ – triclabendazole, epg – eggs per gram

At necropsy, seven (7) genera of helminths were recovered from the gastrointestinal tract of the control cattle approximately 80% of which consisted of adult, immature and L4 stages of *Cooperia* spp. Other gastrointestinal nematodes identified include *Trichuris* spp, *Nematodirus* spp, *Oesophagostomum* spp, *Trichostrongylus* spp, *Haemonchus* spp and *Ostertagia* spp which each made up approximately 5% or less of the total count.

Total worm count data indicated that the small intestinal worms, *Cooperia* spp. and adult *Nematodirus* spp., were the most difficult species to remove following treatment. Efficacy increased with increasing concentration of ivermectin in the formulation.

The 240 g/L triclabendazole plus 15.0 g/L ivermectin formulation efficacy against adult and immature stages of small intestinal nematodes (*Trichostrongylus* spp, *Cooperia* spp) was greater than 90% (arithmetic and geometric means) and greater than 99% (geometric means) with the exception of adult *Nematodirus* [49.1% (arithmetic means) and 93 % (geometric means)].

Greater than 95% efficacy (geometric and arithmetic) was achieved against adult and immature stages of abomasal nematodes (*Haemonchus* spp, *Ostertagia ostertagia*, *Trichostrongylus axei*) and large intestinal nematodes (*Oesophagostomum* spp, *Trichuris* spp).

Greater than 95% efficacy (arithmetic and geometric means) was achieved by the 240g/L triclabendazole plus 7.5g/L ivermectin and the 240g/L triclabendazole

plus 10g/L ivermectin formulations against abomasal nematodes (with the exception of fourth stage *Ostertagia* larvae in cattle treated with the 240g/L triclabendazole plus 10g/L ivermectin formulation). Efficacy against small intestinal nematodes increased from 57.7% to greater than 99.9% with increased concentration of ivermectin.

Table 16

Arithmetic/Geometric mean and percentage removal of the number of helminths recovered at necropsy from 15g/L ivermectin + 240g/L triclabendazole treated animals						
Helminth species	15g/L Ivermectin + 240g/L Triclabendazole					
	Removal % (AM)			Removal % (GM)		
	Adult	Immature	L4	Adult	Immature	L4
Abomasal						
<i>Haemonchus spp.</i>	96	>99.9		99.1	>99.9	
<i>Ostertagia spp.</i>	>99.9	>99.9	>99.9	>99.9	>99.9	>99.9
<i>Trichostrongylus axei</i>	>99.9			>99.9		
Small Intestine						
<i>Trichostrongylus spp.</i>	>99.9			>99.9		
<i>Cooperia spp.</i>	90.7	94.6	>99.9	99.5	99.5	>99.9
<i>Nematodirus spp.</i>	44.9			93.3		
Large Intestine						
<i>Oesphagostomum spp.</i>	>99.9	>99.9		>99.9	>99.9	
<i>Trichuris spp.</i>	>99.9	>99.9		>99.9	>99.9	

Triplicate blood samples were also collected five (5) days prior to treatment then 1, 3, 5 and 7 days post treatment from animals in groups 2, 3, 4 and 5 for triclabendazole and ivermectin analysis. Plasma ivermectin C_{max} and AUC values increased relative to the concentration in the formulation – Table 17.

Table 17

Summary (mean +/- SD) disposition of ivermectin by treatment group			
Treatment group	C _{max} (ng/mL)	T _{max} (day)	AUC (ng.d/mL)
Grp 2: IVM 7.5mg/mL + TCBZ 240mg/mL	3.75 ± 2.22	3.4 ± 1.7	13.39 ± 5.88
Grp3: IVM 10mg/mL + TCBZ 240mg/mL	9.00 ± 7.74	3.8 ± 1.1	26.65 ± 22.56
Grp 4: IVM 15mg/mL + TCBZ 240mg/mL	6.95 ± 2.87	3.8 ± 1.1	31.87 ± 17.13

IVM – ivermectin, TCBZ – triclabendazole

Summary:

For a given dose volume (1mL per 10 kg bodyweight), increasing the concentration of ivermectin in the formulation increased the plasma concentration and efficacy. Nematode efficacy of the 240 g/L triclabendazole plus 15.0 g/L ivermectin was higher and more consistent than the corresponding formulations containing 7.5 and 10.0 g/L ivermectin, especially against the hard to control small intestinal worms, *Cooperia spp* and *Nematodirus spp*.

10

Industrial Applicability

15

The formulations of the invention can be readily used to treat, control or prevent disease caused by, and/or infestations of, endo-parasites such as liver fluke and nematodes as well as ecto-parasites, particularly in treating, controlling and/or preventing liver fluke and nematode infestations in sheep or cattle, particularly cattle.

It will be appreciated that, although specific embodiments of the invention have been described herein for the purpose of illustration, various modifications may be made without deviating from the spirit and scope of the invention as defined in the following claims.

20

What is claimed is:

1. An aqueous micellar formulation comprising a first active agent, selected from water insoluble benzimidazoles, salicylanilides and active derivatives or salts thereof, in combination with a second active agent, selected
5 from macrocyclic lactones or active derivatives or salts thereof, said formulation being for topical application to animals for the control of internal parasites and also comprising, per litre of formulation:
from about 100g to about 400g veterinary-acceptable surfactant(s);
from about 200g to about 750g veterinary-acceptable water-miscible
10 solvent(s); and
from about 50g to about 350g of water.
2. A formulation according to Claim 1, wherein said surfactant is selected from polyoxyethylene sorbitan fatty acid esters or combinations thereof.
3. A formulation according to Claim 2, wherein said surfactant is
15 polyoxyethylene (20) sorbitan monolaurate.
4. A formulation according to any one of Claim 1, wherein said water-miscible solvent is selected from ethanol, isopropanol, benzyl alcohol, glycol ethers, liquid polyoxyethylene glycols, or a mixture of at least two of these solvents.
- 20 5. A formulation according to Claim 4, wherein one or more of the glycol ethers are selected from alkylene or dialkylene glycol monoalkyl ethers.
6. A formulation according to Claim 5, wherein said one or more of glycol ethers are selected from propylene glycol monomethyl ether, diethylene glycol monoethyl ether, and diethylene glycol monobutyl ether.
- 25 7. A formulation according to Claim 4, comprising a glycol ether and a liquid polyethylene glycol as water-miscible solvents.
8. A formulation according to Claim 7, wherein the polyethylene glycol is PEG 200.
9. A formulation according to Claim 1, further comprising from about 5g
30 to about 50g per litre of formulation of a stabilizer selected from linear anionic surfactants, buffering agents and mixtures thereof.
10. A formulation according to Claim 9, wherein said stabilizer is selected from linear alkyl sulphates, linear alkyl benzene sulphonates, and phosphates, or mixtures thereof.

11. A formulation according to Claim 10, wherein said stabilizer is sodium dodecyl sulphate.

12. A formulation according to Claim 1, comprising about 100g to about 300g surfactant per litre of formulation.

5 13. A formulation according to Claim 1, comprising from about 300g to about 650g water-miscible solvent(s) per litre of formulation.

14. A formulation according to claim 1, wherein said formulation comprises from about 10g to about 100g per litre of formulation of a liquid polyethylene glycol as a water-miscible solvent.

10 15. A formulation according to Claim 13, comprising about 450g to about 550g glycol ether(s) selected from alkylene or dialkylene glycol monoalkyl ethers, and about 20g to about 50g of a liquid polyethylene glycol as the one or more water-miscible solvents per litre of formulation.

16. A formulation according to Claim 1, comprising about 150g water per
15 litre of formulation.

17. A formulation according to Claim 1, comprising from about 120g to about 300g benzimidazole, or a derivative thereof, per litre of formulation.

18. A formulation according to Claim 16 or Claim 17, wherein said first active agent is triclabendazole.

20 19. A formulation according to Claims 1, comprising from about 7.5g to about 20g macrocyclic lactone per litre of formulation.

20. A formulation according to Claim 19, comprising about 15g macrocyclic lactone per litre formulation.

21. A formulation according to Claim 19 or Claim 20, wherein said
25 macrocyclic lactone is ivermectin.

22. A formulation according to Claim 1, comprising, per litre of formulation:

about 180g to about 240g benzimidazole;

30 about 7.5g to about 20g macrocyclic lactone or an active derivative or salt thereof;

about 150g to about 250g polyoxyethylene (20) sorbitan monolaurate;

about 450g to about 550g diethylene glycol monobutyl ether;

about 20g to about 50g PEG 200;

about 10g to about 30g sodium dodecyl sulphate; and

35 about 100g to about 200g of water.

23. The formulation of Claim 22 which comprises about 240g triclabendazole and about 15g ivermectin per litre.

24. A method of treating or preventing a diseased or parasite-infested state in a mammal, comprising topically administering to said mammal a micellar
5 formulation according to Claim 1 or Claim 22, wherein said disease or parasite-infested state comprises a liver fluke infection or infestation, a nematode infection or infestation, or both a liver fluke and a nematode infection or infestation in a mammal.

25. A method according to Claim 24, wherein said mammal is selected
10 from cattle, sheep, goats, pigs and horses.

26. A method according to Claims 24, wherein said topical application comprises application of the formulation in a band along the lower portion of the back of the mammal.

27. A method according to Claim 26, wherein the formulation is applied to
15 the mammal over as small a region as possible, while avoiding run-off of the formulation so as to maximise the concentration of active agents per cm² of animal surface.

28. A method according to Claim 26, wherein the band of formulation is applied starting from the thoracic vertebrae and proceeding towards the rump of
20 the animal, and from about 18mg to about 24mg triclabendazole and from about 0.75mg to about 2mg ivermectin are applied per kilogram animal.

29. The method of Claim 28, wherein about 24mg triclabendazole and about 15mg ivermectin are applied per kilogram animal.

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.
PCT/AU03/01490

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER					
Int. Cl. ⁷ : A61K 31/167, 31/365, 31/7048, 31/4184, A61P 31/00					
According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC					
B. FIELDS SEARCHED					
Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)					
Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched					
Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used) Registry; Keywords; 68786-66-3, 70288-86-7; CAPLUS; Keywords; 68786-66-3, 70288-86-7; benzimidazole, tribendazole, oxfendazole, bendazole, triclabendazole, salicylanilide, closantel, oxyclozanide, rafoxanide, niclosamide, cloxanide, brotianide, bromooxanide, macrolytic lactone, ivermectin, avermectin, moxidectin, doramectin, milbemycin, nemadectin, water, aqueous DWPI; Keywords; benzimidazole, tribendazole, oxfendazole, bendazole, triclabendazole, salicylanilide, closantel, oxyclozanide, rafoxanide, niclosamide, cloxanide, brotianide, bromooxanide, macrolytic lactone, ivermectin, avermectin, moxidectin, doramectin, milbemycin, nemadectin					
C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT					
Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.			
X	Commonwealth of Australia Gazette, No. NRA 9, 5 September 2000, page 19, Product Name Fasimec Entire document	1-29			
X	Commonwealth of Australia Gazette, No. NRA 12, 5 December 2000, page 11, Product Name Fasimec Cattle Oral Flukicide Entire document	1-29			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> See patent family annex					
<table style="width: 100%; border: none;"> <tr> <td style="width: 33%; vertical-align: top;"> * Special categories of cited documents: "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance "E" earlier application or patent but published on or after the international filing date "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means "P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed </td> <td style="width: 33%; vertical-align: top;"> "T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention "X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone "Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art "&" document member of the same patent family </td> <td style="width: 33%;"></td> </tr> </table>			* Special categories of cited documents: "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance "E" earlier application or patent but published on or after the international filing date "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means "P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed	"T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention "X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone "Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art "&" document member of the same patent family	
* Special categories of cited documents: "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance "E" earlier application or patent but published on or after the international filing date "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means "P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed	"T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention "X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone "Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art "&" document member of the same patent family				
Date of the actual completion of the international search 8 December 2003		Date of mailing of the international search report 15 DEC 2003			
Name and mailing address of the ISA/AU AUSTRALIAN PATENT OFFICE PO BOX 200, WODEN ACT 2606, AUSTRALIA E-mail address: pct@ipaaustralia.gov.au Facsimile No. (02) 6285 3929		Authorized officer TERRY SUMMERS Telephone No : (02) 6283 3126			

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.
PCT/AU03/01490

C (Continuation). DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT		
Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	Stevenson CR et al, "The efficacy of formulations of triclabendazole and ivermectin in combination against liver fluke (<i>Fasciola hepatica</i>) and gastro-intestinal nematodes in cattle and sheep and sucking lice species in cattle", Aust Vet J, Vol 80, No 11, November 2002 Abstract	1-29
X	Derwent Abstract Accession Number 99-081974/08, Class B02 C02, CN 1194832-A, (Wang Y), 7 October 1998. Entire document	1-29
X	Derwent Abstract Accession Number 1999-405704/35, Class B02 C02, CN 01214909-A, (Wang Y), 28 April 1999. Entire document	1-29

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

International application No.

PCT/AU03/01490

This Annex lists the known "A" publication level patent family members relating to the patent documents cited in the above-mentioned international search report. The Australian Patent Office is in no way liable for these particulars which are merely given for the purpose of information.

Patent Document Cited in Search Report		Patent Family Member	
CN	01214909 A	NONE	
CN	1194832 A	CN	1069032 B
END OF ANNEX			